## **AMENDMENT**

Proposed by: Deputy A. Spruce Seconded by: Deputy S. L. Langlois

- 1. To delete Proposition 1 and to substitute therefor:
  - "1. To approve recycling targets as follows:
    - 50% by the end of 2013; and
    - 60% by the end of 2018.
  - 1A. To note the intention, through the application of the Waste Hierarchy's principles, to achieve a recycling rate of 70% by the end of 2025.
  - 1B. To direct the Public Services Department to consider the capital requirement and annual operational costs of introducing and thereafter providing:
    - (i) an island-wide kerbside collection service of food waste;
    - (ii) the processing of that waste and
    - (iii) the disposal of the residual material,

incorporating an evaluation of the apportionment, between domestic and commercial properties, of the funding for such a service and the value of the residual material and to report thereon to the States of Deliberation by no later than September 2013.".

- 2. To delete Proposition 3 and to substitute therefor:
  - "3. To direct the Treasury and Resources Department to consider and approve appropriate business cases from the Public Services Department to implement prevention, re-use and recycling initiatives (namely kerbside collection of dry recyclables and refurbishment of bring bank sites) at the earliest opportunity, with reference to indicative costs detailed in Appendix 15 of this report and for the capital costs of these schemes to be funded by a loan from States Treasury."
- 3. To delete Proposition 5 and to substitute therefor:
  - "5. To direct the Public Services Department to pursue simultaneously the options of:
    - (a) export of waste, including the possibility of buying into the Jersey plant; and
    - (b) an integrated on-Island waste management facility, based on a minimum recycling target of 60% by 2018, either including or excluding separated food waste processing, and to report back to the Policy Council no later than September 2013 with full costings, an assessment of the benefits to the local economy of each option over the other and an indication of the increase in annual domestic and commercial waste disposal costs, above those in 2011, to lay before the States of Deliberation."



## *Vote Number 2012/23*

Date of Vote: 22<sup>nd</sup> February 2012

Billet d'État: IV of 2012

Article: 7

Department/Committee: Public Services Department Subject: Revised Waste Strategy

**Proposition:** Amendment

Proposed by: Deputy P. L. Gillson Seconded by: Deputy J. M. Tasker

## *In Proposition 3:*

(a) to insert the words "value for money and" immediately before "indicative costs", and

(b) to insert at the end the words ", except in any case where the costs in respect of any initiative are in excess of 110% of those detailed in this report, in which case that initiative shall be referred to the States of Deliberation for consideration and, if appropriate, approval".