

STATES OF DELIBERATION

28th April, 2010

Billet d'État No. IX

Article No. 13

AMENDMENT

Proposed by: Deputy L S Trott

Seconded by: Deputy C N K Parkinson

States Assembly and Constitution Committee

Amendments to the Rules of Procedure of the States of Deliberation relating to the States Strategic Plan

To assign the number “1” to the proposition as published in the Billet d'État, and to add thereafter the following further proposition:

- “2. To direct the States Assembly and Constitution Committee to formulate, and to lay before the July 2010 meeting of the States of Deliberation, such further change(s) to the Rules of Procedure of the States of Deliberation as may be necessary to ensure that any Proposition which, if approved, may otherwise result in increased States expenditure must be so worded as to either:
- a) identify how such increased expenditure is to be funded, and expressly amend the States Strategic Plan accordingly; or
 - b) take effect only if and when a subsequent States Resolution shall have identified how such increased expenditure is to be funded, and expressly amended the States Strategic Plan accordingly.”.

Explanatory Note

This amendment is intended to ensure that any States Report, Requête, Amendment, Sursis or other motion before the States either addresses its expenditure implications or does not take effect until they have been resolved. It is framed as a direction to the States Assembly and Constitution Committee, instead of making direct changes to the Rules of Procedure, to be more certain of addressing any technical issues. But, so that there is no doubt on these points, the proposer and seconder have recognized, and consider it wholly appropriate, that an amendment caught by the proposed changes would be subject to the rule requiring 7 days' notice, and most likely also that about amendments going further than proposition concerned. The proposer and seconder consider that if a States Report, Requête, Amendment or Sursis would increase the revenue expenditure of the States or re-prioritise the previously planned expenditure of the States, States members should have a full opportunity to consider the wider context, including the competing claims for such resources, and to form their own views on the effect on aggregate States expenditure and any necessary prioritisation