

## **STATES OF DELIBERATION**

8th March, 2016

### **Billet d'État No. VII (Vol. I) Article I**

#### **AMENDMENT**

Proposed by: Deputy D. de G. de Lisle

Seconded by: Deputy J. A. B. Gollop

#### **Education Department The Future Structure of Secondary and Post-16 Education**

1. In Proposition 3, to delete “to include a 600 pupil High School, a 420 pupil Primary School, pre-school nursery, enhanced sports facilities, the Communication and Autism Centre, and community facilities” and substitute “but subject to Proposition 3A,”.

2. To insert a Proposition 3A as follows:

“3A. That the rebuild of the La Mare de Carteret Schools’ site shall include:

- a) a 600 pupil High School,
- b) a 420 pupil Primary School,
- c) a pre-school nursery,
- d) enhanced sports facilities,
- e) a Communication and Autism Centre, and
- f) community facilities.”.

#### **Explanatory Note and Rule 15(2) information**

The effect of the amendment is merely to split the Facilities of the Education Department’s proposals for the re-development of the La Mare de Carteret Schools’ site, so that Members can vote separately on each of those projects.

Detailed Costings are on page 1738 in the report for La Mare Site - 600 Pupil High School £19,272,300; Sports Hall £8,399,200; Communication and Autism Service £856,660; Primary School & Pre School £9,168,911; Total Construction Cost £37,697,074 plus External works & Drainage £11,853,588 Professional fees, FFE and ICT, Design Risk @3% Pricing Risk @2%, Post Contract Change Management Allowance @3%, and Central Costs making a total project cost £64,178,762 Total present Day Cost Comparison (Base date January 2016) £64,180,000 Plus inflation (Based on BCIS) to completion £69,210,000. The amendment would have no financial implications for the States.

**STATES OF DELIBERATION**

**8th March, 2016**

**Billet d'État No. VII (Vol. I)  
Article I**

**MOTION UNDER SECTION 7(1) OF THE REFORM (GUERNSEY) LAW, 1948**

Proposed by: Deputy D DeG De Lisle  
Seconded by: Deputy J A B Gollop

To suspend Rules 13(2) and 15(2) and any other provisions of the Rules of Procedure to the extent necessary to permit the amendment set out below to be debated and take effect.

**AMENDMENT**

Proposed by: Deputy D DeG De Lisle  
Seconded by: Deputy J A B Gollop

**Education Department  
The Future Structure of Secondary and Post-16 Education**

In Proposition 3, to delete “to include a 600 pupil High School, a 420 pupil Primary School, pre-school nursery, enhanced sports facilities, the Communication and Autism Centre, and community facilities at a total cost not exceeding £64,180,000 plus inflation” and substitute “but comprising only a 600 pupil High School”.

**Explanatory Note and Rule 15(2) information**

The effect of the amendment is to approve the development of only a 600 pupil High School.

Detailed Costings are on page 1738 in the report for La Mare Site - 600 Pupil High School £19,272,300; Sports Hall £8,399,200; Communication and Autism Service £856,660; Primary School & Pre School £9,168,911; Total Construction Cost £37,697,074 plus External works & Drainage £11,853,588 Professional fees, FFE and ICT, Design Risk @3% Pricing Risk @2%, Post Contract Change Management Allowance @3%, and Central Costs making a total project cost £64,178,762. Total present Day Cost Comparison (Base date January 2016) £64,180,000 Plus inflation (Based on BCIS) to completion £69,210,000. To build the 600 pupil High School only would no doubt increase the price of that element to more than £19,272,300 plus inflation but would clearly reduce the total cost to well below that of the Education Department’s complete package.

## **STATES OF DELIBERATION**

8th March, 2016

### **Billet d'État No. VII (Vol. I) Article I**

#### **AMENDMENT**

Proposed by: Deputy M M Lowe  
Seconded by: Deputy A H Brouard

#### **Education Department** **The Future Structure of Secondary and Post-16 Education**

1. In Proposition 1, to delete “agree” and substitute “direct the Education Department and its successor Committee to undertake public consultation, and thereafter to submit to the States by no later than March 2017 proposals concerning whether or not to agree”.
2. In Proposition 2, to delete “agree that the Education Department (and its successor Committee)” and substitute “direct the Education Department and its successor Committee to undertake public consultation, and thereafter to submit to the States by no later than March 2017 proposals concerning whether or not to agree that the Committee for Education, Sport & Culture”; and to delete “and in accordance with the States’ decision on proposition 1,”.
3. In Proposition 3, to delete “to include a 600 pupil High School, a 420 pupil Primary School, pre-school nursery, enhanced sports facilities, the Communication and Autism Centre, and community facilities” and substitute “but subject to Proposition 3A,”.
4. To insert a Proposition 3A as follows:  
“3A. That the rebuild of the La Mare de Carteret Schools’ site shall include:
  - a) a 600 pupil High School,
  - b) a 420 pupil Primary School,
  - c) a pre-school nursery,
  - d) enhanced sports facilities,
  - e) a Communication and Autism Centre, and
  - f) community facilities.”.

#### **Explanatory Note and Rule 15(2) information**

The effect of the amendment is to turn the majority of the Education Department’s proposals to a consultation paper with a request for Education to return to the States by no later than March 2017, but with the opportunity now to rebuild the La Mare de Carteret schools.

Detailed Costings are on Page 1738 in the report for La Mare Site - 600 Pupil High School £19,272,300; Sports Hall £8,399,200; Communication and Autism Service £856,660; Primary School & Pre School £9,168,911; Total Construction Cost £37,697,074 plus External works & Drainage £11,853,588 Professional fees, FFE and ICT, Design Risk @3% Pricing Risk @2%, Post Contract Change Management Allowance @3%, and Central Costs making a total project cost £64,178,762 Total present Day Cost Comparison (Base date January 2016) £64,180,000 Plus inflation (Based on BCIS) to completion £69,210,000. Implementation of the amendment should have no financial implications for the States.

## **STATES OF DELIBERATION**

8th March, 2016

### **Billet d'État No. VII (Vol. I) Article I**

#### **SURSIS**

Proposed by: Deputy M M Lowe  
Seconded by: Deputy A H Brouard

#### **Education Department The Future Structure of Secondary and Post-16 Education**

To sursis Propositions 1 and 2, and direct the Education Department and its successor Committee to undertake public consultation, and thereafter to submit to the States by no later than March 2017 proposals, regarding those aspects as detailed in existing propositions:-

1.
  - a. to end the current selective process at age 11, ceasing the current system of awarding special places at the Grant-aided Colleges, being Blanchelande College, Elizabeth College and The Ladies' College, for new Year 7 students from September 2019; and
  - b. to have one secondary school across four sites (at least one site with 16-19 provision) from September 2019; admission to these sites at age 11 to be predominantly by feeder primary school; and
  - c. that selection to individual pathways at Key Stage 4 will be based on guided discussion between school staff, students, parents/carers, overseen by the school senior management team, and informed by individual aptitude, ability, past performance, potential and student preference.
2. To agree that the Education Department (and its successor Committee) should continue discussions with the Grant-aided Colleges, being Blanchelande College, Elizabeth College and The Ladies' College, along the principles set in paragraph 7.45, and in accordance with the States' decision on proposition 1, and to return to the States, no later than June 2017, with detailed proposals for a new funding agreement with the Grant-aided Colleges.

#### **Explanatory Note and Rule 15(2) information**

The effect of the Sursis is to turn the Education Department's proposals concerning the selective process into a consultation paper with a requirement for Education to return to the States by no later than March 2017.

It is not considered that this Sursis in itself would have any financial implications for the States.

**STATES OF DELIBERATION**

**St. Pier A1**

**8th March, 2016**

**Billet d'État No. VII (Vol. I)  
Article I**

Proposed by: Deputy G A St Pier

Seconded by: Deputy R A Perrot

**Education Department  
The Future Structure of Secondary and Post-16 Education**

To delete Propositions 1a and 1b and substitute:

“1. To agree that the current selective admission of students to States’ secondary schools and the granted-aided Colleges based predominantly on the 11 Plus examination shall be replaced with effect from September 2019 (for new Year 7 students) by non-selective admission to States’ secondary schools based predominantly on a feeder system from primary schools.”

***Rule 15(2) information***

*There are no material financial implications for the States.*

**STATES OF DELIBERATION**

**St. Pier A2**

**8th March, 2016**

**Billet d'État No. VII (Vol. I)  
Article I**

Proposed by: Deputy G A St Pier

Seconded by: Deputy R A Perrot

**Education Department  
The Future Structure of Secondary and Post-16 Education**

To delete Propositions 1a and 1b and substitute:

“1. To agree that the current selective admission of students to States’ secondary schools and the granted-aided Colleges based predominantly on the 11 Plus examination shall be retained.”

***Rule 15(2) information***

*There are no material financial implications for the States.*

**STATES OF DELIBERATION**

**St. Pier B1**

**8th March, 2016**

**Billet d'État No. VII (Vol. I)  
Article I**

Proposed by: Deputy G A St Pier  
Seconded by: Deputy A R Le Lievre

**Education Department**  
**The Future Structure of Secondary and Post-16 Education**

To delete Proposition 1c and insert the following additional proposition:

“1A. That 11 to 16 education in the States’ sector shall be provided in one school operating on four sites (with at least one making provision for ages 16 to 19 (sixth form)) and with selection to individual pathways at Key Stage 4 based on guided discussion between school staff, students, parents/carers, overseen by the school senior management team, and informed by individual aptitude, ability, past performance, potential and student preference.”.

***Rule 15(2) information***

*If this amendment is carried, for the purposes of Rule 15(2), the financial implications are as envisaged in the policy letter and the Treasury and Resources Department’s letter of comment starting on page 1763 of the Billet.*

**STATES OF DELIBERATION**

**St. Pier B2**

**8th March, 2016**

**Billet d'État No. VII (Vol. I)  
Article I**

Proposed by: Deputy G A St Pier  
Seconded by: Deputy A R Le Lievre

**Education Department  
The Future Structure of Secondary and Post-16 Education**

To delete Proposition 1c and insert the following additional proposition:

“1A. That 11 to 16 education in the States’ sector shall be provided in three schools of a broadly comparable size (with at least one making provision for ages 16 to 19 (sixth form.))”.

***Rule 15(2) information***

*If this amendment is carried, for the purposes of Rule 15(2), the general revenue savings of a three secondary school educational policy could include the avoidance of the costs associated with an Executive Head and the increased transport costs set out in paragraph 8.8 of the Billet totaling £460,000 per annum. In addition, as noted in the Treasury and Resources Department’s letter of comment at page 1764 of the Billet, the opportunity to move more quickly to the pupil-teacher ratio from its current 1:12.6 to the Education Department’s policy of 1:15 could produce a reform dividend prudently estimated to be in excess of £2million per annum without (given the current policy) any detrimental impact on educational outcomes.*

*[NB Consequential upon adopting a three secondary school educational policy, there would be additional annual general revenue savings achieved from operating a smaller educational estate e.g. heating, lighting, maintenance of buildings, plant and equipment etc. It is not possible to quantify these at this point.]*



**STATES OF DELIBERATION**

**St. Pier – Consequential 1**

**8th March, 2016**

**Billet d'État No. VII (Vol. I)  
Article I**

**AMENDMENT**

Proposed by: Deputy G A St Pier  
Seconded by: Deputy K A Stewart

**Education Department**  
**The Future Structure of Secondary and Post-16 Education**

1. To insert at the end of Proposition 1, “and that the States’ secondary schools shall set students by ability as appropriate.”

***Rule 15(2) information***

*There are no financial implications for the States if proposition 1, as above, is approved.*

**STATES OF DELIBERATION**

**9th March, 2016**

**Billet d'État No. VII (Vol. I)  
Article I**

Proposed by: Deputy L S Trott  
Seconded by: Deputy P R Le Pelley

**Education Department  
The Future Structure of Secondary and Post-16 Education**

To delete Proposition 1 and substitute:

“1. To agree:

- a) that the current selective admission of students to States’ secondary schools and the granted-aided Colleges shall be retained, but on the basis of a combination of progress tests and continuous assessment conducted during the final two years of a pupil’s primary school education;
- b) to direct the Education Department (and its successor Committee) to investigate the feasibility of using such an alternative selection process and to report back to the States by no later than December 2016 with the results of its investigations and appropriate recommendations.”

**Rule 15(2) information**

There are no material financial implications for the States.

**STATES OF DELIBERATION**

**Soulsby**

**8<sup>th</sup> March 2016**

**Billet d'État No. VII**

**Article 1**

**AMENDMENT**

Proposed by: Deputy Heidi J R Soulsby

Seconded by: Deputy Gavin A St Pier

**Education Department**  
**The Future Structure of Secondary and Post-16 Education**

To add an additional Proposition 1B as follows:

“1B To direct the Committee *for* Education, Sport and Culture to publish by December 2017 a policy for the identification and support of the most able, gifted and talented children in Guernsey and Alderney; and the desired outcomes from such a policy, the measurement of those outcomes and any resources required.”.

***Rule 15(2) information***

*There are no material financial implications for the States.*

## **STATES OF DELIBERATION**

8<sup>th</sup> March, 2016

### **Billet d'État No. VII (Vol. I)**

#### **Article 1**

#### **MOTION UNDER SECTION 7(1) OF THE REFORM (GUERNSEY) LAW, 1948**

Proposed by: Deputy G A St Pier  
Seconded by: Deputy A R Le Lievre

To suspend Rules 13(2) and 15(2) and any other provisions of the Rules of Procedure to the extent necessary to permit the amendment set out below to be debated and take effect.

#### **AMENDMENT**

Proposed by: Deputy G A St Pier  
Seconded by: Deputy A R Le Lievre

#### **Education Department – The Future of Secondary and Post-16 Education**

In Proposition 1A, to delete all the words after “schools” and substitute: “, ideally of a broadly comparable size but in any event of a size capable of securing equality of opportunity for all students”; and to delete Propositions 2 to 5 inclusive and substitute:

“2. To direct that as soon as practicable, but in any event during 2016 or 2017, the *Committee for Education, Sport & Culture* shall submit a policy letter to the States with the capital and revenue implications and recommendations in respect of:

- a) the optimum changes to the education estate which are necessary to give effect to the States’ policies contained in Propositions 1 and 1A, provided that the Committee shall first have considered the following options:
  - i) redeveloping a secondary school at La Mare de Carteret; and ceasing 11 to 16 education at Les Varendes and consolidating post-16 education, including sixth form studies and the College of Further Education, at Les Varendes and Les Ozouets; and
  - ii) maintaining 11 to 19 education, i.e. including a sixth form, at Les Varendes; and ceasing 11 to 16 education at La Mare de Carteret.
- b) any changes to the education estate which are considered necessary in relation to the facilities and services other than the secondary school previously proposed as part of the redevelopment of the site at La Mare de Carteret, e.g. pre-school, primary school, communication and autism centre, enhanced sports facilities and community facilities.

- c) any changes to the education estate not incorporated in a)i) and ii) above which are considered necessary to develop the College of Further Education, the need for which has been recognised by the States on several occasions and is referred to in that Policy Letter.
- d) the role, consistent with Proposition 1, of the grant-aided colleges (Blanchelande College, Elizabeth College and The Ladies' College) in the provision of secondary education and detailed proposals for any new funding arrangements with those grant-aided colleges together with the rationale for the quantum of grant-aid recommended.

“3. To agree that pending the completion of any capital works approved by the States following their consideration of the policy letter envisaged in Proposition 2, it may be necessary to provide funding both to maintain the existing schools at La Mare de Carteret and to ensure the recruitment and retention of secondary school teachers.

“4. To delegate authority to the Policy & Resources Committee to provide funding from the Capital Reserve and the Transformation and Transition Fund, as appropriate, to the Committee *for* Education, Sport & Culture to allow the Committee *for* Education, Sport & Culture to give effect to Propositions 1, 1A, 2 and 3 and to direct the Policy & Resources Committee to report to the States within six months of any use of this delegated authority.”.

***Rule 15(2) information***

*The financial implications if proposition 3, as above, is approved are unknown at this time. It would be incumbent in the normal course in accordance with extant procedures for the Policy and Resources Committee to account to the States for its use of the delegated authority granted by this proposition.*

**STATES OF DELIBERATION**

**8th March, 2016**

**Billet d'État No. VII (Vol. I)  
Article I**

**MOTION UNDER SECTION 7(1) OF THE REFORM (GUERNSEY) LAW, 1948**

Proposed by: Deputy M M Lowe  
Seconded by: Deputy A H Brouard

To suspend Rules 13(2) and 15(2) and any other provisions of the Rules of Procedure to the extent necessary to permit the amendment set out below to be debated and take effect.

**SURIS**

Proposed by: Deputy M M Lowe  
Seconded by: Deputy A H Brouard

**Education Department  
The Future Structure of Secondary and Post-16 Education**

To sursis all propositions and direct Education or their successor to undertake further research and public consultation before reporting back to the States as soon as practicable

**STATES OF DELIBERATION**

**15th March, 2016**

**Billet d'État No. VII (Vol. I)  
Article I**

**AMENDMENT**

Proposed by: Deputy S Ogier  
Seconded by: Deputy

**Education Department  
The Future Structure of Secondary and Post-16 Education**

To insert the following additional proposition:

“1C To direct the Committee for Education, Sport and Culture to review and publish by December 2017 a policy for managing disruptive behaviour in order that classroom disruption is minimised and those who need extra support to engage in education are accommodated and helped without detriment to the education of others; along with the desired outcomes from such a policy, the measurement of those outcomes and any resources required.”.

**Rule 15(2) information**

It is not considered that this amendment in itself would have any financial implications for the States.