

Planning Services,  
Sir Charles Frossard House,  
Charroterie,  
ST. PETER PORT  
GY1 1FH

Our ref: J.V. / F.

Your ref: UNW/2019/00199

3 December 2020.

## RETROSPECTIVE PLANNING APPLICATION

Dear Sir,

RE: PROPOSED FRONT FENCES AND GATE AT `STAMFORD  
`BRIDGE`, MONT ARRIVE, ST. PETER PORT, FOR :-  
MR. J. VAUDIN.

I refer to your letter dated 18 September 2019 in which you inform my Client that the timber fencing and gate recently erected at the front of his property must be removed within 28 days. My Client discussed this further with compliance officer Mr. D. Perrio of the Authority`s staff.

I am informed by Mr. Vaudin that prior to the erection of the fencing, he had discussed the erection of the fencing with a number of duty planning officers. He is adamant he has acted in accordance with the information given by the duty officers and has asked me to apologize on his behalf if he has misinterpreted the advice given.

### FENCE ERECTED ON FRONT SOUTH BOUNDARY

The fence that have been erected on site on the front South boundary is formed from 1200 high panels that have been erected 250 higher than the front garden surface, suspended on fence posts set inside of the existing boundary walls. The fence tops are therefore 1450 above the garden surface. The fence has been stopped short of the roadside boundary by 1700mm.

### FENCE/GATE ERECTED ON FRONT NORTH BOUNDARY

The gate and short section of fence that have been erected on site on the front North division with the access road is set at 1500 higher than that of the existing front garden level but due to the steps down from the front garden at this point appears higher when viewed form the access road level. The access road level is

approximately 400mm down from existing front garden level.

In support of this application it must be pointed out to the Authority that there exist other close neighbouring properties that appear to have the benefit of higher than exempt height front fencing that terminate against the existing roadside wall bordering Mont Arrive. Photographs of these properties are enclosed.

My Client also wishes to point out that the fencing on the South boundary has been stopped short of the roadside by 1700, allowing visual relief and that out that hedges of at least the height of the proposed fences have been planted on top of the existing boundary walls. This results in a uniform appearance to the overall front of the property and gives a modicum of privacy to the front garden amenity area.

Photographs of this are also enclosed.

**PROPOSALS TO ADDRESS POLICY GP9, ASSUMING PLANNING  
CONSENT IS GIVEN.**

The new works will be constructed in accordance with the requirements of current Guernsey Technical Standards in order to achieve minimum requirements.

Due to the geographical location and relative isolation of this property, there are no concerns in relation to flooding.

I would be pleased in view of the above therefore if this could be considered for planning approval by the Department in the normal manner and look forward to an early and favourable reply.

Yours faithfully,

G. E. Le Fricc.